Thread Scheduling and Synchronization

- Thread models and issues in scheduling.
- After this lecture you will know enough to understand the options and intricacies of most thread APIs

Thread Models

- We can view the kernel as having its own threads
 - *kernel threads* or *LWPs* (lightweight processes)
 - a LWP can be viewed as "virtual CPUs" to which the scheduler of a threads library schedules user-level threads.
- Three dominant models for thread libraries, each with its own trade-offs
 - many threads on one LWP (many-to-one)
 - one thread per LWP (one-to-one)
 - many threads on many LWPs (many-tomany)

Many-to-One Model

Plale Indiana Universit

• In this model, the library maps all threads to a single lightweight process



- Advantages:
 - totally portable
 - easy to do with few systems dependencies
- Disadvantages:
 - cannot take advantage of parallelism
 - may have to block for synchronous I/O - there is a clever technique for avoiding it
- Mainly used in language systems, portable libraries

One-to-One Model

Plale Indiana University 2 of 11

4 of 12

• In this model, the library maps each thread to a different lightweight process



• Advantages:

- can exploit parallelism, blocking system calls

- Disadvantages:
 - thread creation involves LWP creation
 - each thread takes up kernel resources
 - limiting the number of total threads
- Used in LinuxThreads and other systems where LWP creation is not too expensive

3 of 12

Many-to-Many Model

- In this model, the library has two kinds of threads: *bound* and *unbound*
 - bound threads are mapped each to a single lightweight process
 - unbound threads *may* be mapped to the same LWP



- Probably the best of both worlds
- Used in the Solaris implementation of Pthreads (and several other Unix implementations)

Contention Scope

- *Contention scope* is the POSIX term for describing bound and unbound threads
- Bound thread is said to have *system contention scope*
 - it contends with all threads in system
- Unbound thread has *process contention scope* - it contends with threads in same process
- In Pthreads, scope is set at thread creation by a parameter in the attribute block: PTHREAD_SCOPE_SYSTEM (system), and PTHREAD_SCOPE_PROCESS (process)

Plale Indiana Universit

Process Scope Context Switching

E of 1

7 of 12

Four ways to cause a running thread to context switch:

- Synchronization
 - most common: thread goes to sleep on mutex or condition variable
- Pre-emption
 - running thread does something that causes high-priority thread to become runnable
 - cannot be implemented entirely in userspace except in a one-to-one model
- Yielding
 - thread may explicitly yield to another thread of same priority
- Time-slicing
 - threads of same priority may be context switched periodically

Process Scope Context Switching

Plale Indiana University

- Time slicing and pre-emption cannot be done completely in user space
 - at very least a signal needs to be sent and/or handled
- Question: What happens when library context switches threads?

of 11



```
Plale
Indiana Unive
```



- Most synchronization on symmetric multiprocessors is based on an atomic test and set instruction in hardware - we need to do a load and store atomically
- Example:

```
try_again:
  ldstub address -> register
 compare register, 0
 branch_equal got_it
 call go_to_sleep
  jump try_again
qot it:
 return
```

- *ldstub*: load and store unsigned byte (SPARC)
- Other kinds of atomic primitives at the hardware level may be even more powerful - e.g., *Load Locked* and *Store Conditional* on the Alpha

Cross-Process Synchronization Variables

- A synchronization variable can be used to synchronize between multiple processes
- How it is done in Pthreads:
 - the variable needs to be placed in shared memory (can also be stored persistently in a file!)
 - both processes must know about the variable
 - exactly one of the processes must initialize the variable to be cross-process
- Cross-process synchronization is slower (?)

11 of 12

12 of 12